Existence of breathing patterns in globally coupled finite-size nonlinear lattices

Dirk Hennig
Department of Mathematics, University of Portsmouth, Portsmouth, PO1 3HF, UK
(Dated: June 26, 2015)

We prove the existence of time-periodic solutions consisting of patterns built up from two states, one with small amplitude and the other one with large amplitude, in general nonlinear Hamiltonian finite-size lattices with global coupling. Utilising the comparison principle for differential equations it is demonstrated that for a two site segment of the nonlinear lattice one can construct solutions that are sandwiched between two oscillatory localised lattice states. Subsequently, it is proven that such a localised state can be embedded in the extended nonlinear lattice forming a breathing pattern with a single site of large amplitude against a background of uniform small-amplitude states. Furthermore, it is demonstrated that spatial patterns are possible that are built up from any combination of the small-amplitude state and the large-amplitude state. It is shown that for soft (hard) on-site potentials the range of allowed frequencies of the in-phase (out-of-phase) breathing patterns extends to values below (above) the lower (upper) value of the bivalued degenerate linear spectrum of phonon frequencies.

PACS numbers: 05.45.-a, 63.20.Pw, 45.05.+x, 63.20.Ry

arXiv:1404.2712v2 [nlin.PS] 25 Jun 2015

Intrinsic localised modes (ILMs) or discrete breathers in nonlinear lattices have attracted significant interest recently, not least due to the important role they play in many physical realms where features of localisation in systems of coupled oscillators are involved (for a review see [1] and references therein),[2]-[4]. Proofs of existence and nonexistence of breathers, as spatially localised and time-periodically varying solutions, were provided in [5]-[9]. The exponential stability of breathers was proven in [10]. Analytical and numerical methods have been developed to continue breather solutions in conservative and dissipative systems starting from the anti-integrable limit [11]-[16].

During recent years the existence of breathers has been verified in a number of experiments in various contexts including micro-mechanical cantilever arrays [17], arrays of coupled Josephson junctions [18], antiferromagnetic chains [19], coupled optical wave guides [20], Bose-Einstein condensates in optical lattices [21], in coupled torsion pendula [22], electrical transmission lines [23], and granular crystals [24]. Regarding their creation mechanism in conservative systems, modulational instability (MI) provides the route to the formation of breathers originating from an initially spatially homogeneous state imposed to (weak) perturbations. To be precise, the MI of band edge plane waves triggers an inherent instability leading to the formation of a spatially localised state [25].

Lattice discreteness and nonlinearity are the prerequisites for the ability of breathers to store energy without dispersing it to the environs. Discreteness serves for the existence of an upper boundary to the linear phonon spectrum making it possible that not only the fundamental breather frequency but also all of its higher harmonics lie outside of the phonon spectrum. Nonlinearity allows to tune the frequency of (anharmonic) oscillators in dependence of their amplitude (or action) such that resonances between harmonics of the breather frequency, ω_b, and the frequencies, ω_{ph}, of linear oscillations, i.e. phonons, can be avoided. That is, the non-resonance conditions

$$m\omega_b \neq \omega_{ph}, \ \ \forall m \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{1}$$

need to be satisfied. In finite systems the separation between phonon frequencies allows that a breather frequency lies in a non-resonance window between two frequencies in the phonon spectrum [12],[26]. In contrast, this is impossible for localised solutions in infinite systems as the phonon spectrum is characterised by continuous bands.

In this work we prove the existence of time-periodic solutions consisting of patterns built up from two states of different amplitude (one small and the other one large) in general nonlinear finite-size lattices with global coupling. We treat two types of on-site potentials; namely hard and soft ones. Unlike for the continuation process of (trivially) localised solutions starting from the anti-continuum limit [12],[13]-[16] our approach is not necessarily confined to the weak coupling regime.

We study the dynamics of general nonlinear lattice systems given by the following equations

$$\frac{d^2q_n(t)}{dt^2} \ = \ -U'(q_n(t)) + \frac{\kappa}{2N+1}\sum_{j=-N}^{N}(q_{n+j}(t)-q_n(t)), \tag{2}$$

with $-N \leq n \leq N$, $q_{n>N} = q_{n-(2N+1)}$ and $q_{n<-N} = q_{n+(2N+1)}$ and the prime $'$ stands for the derivative with respect to q_n, the latter being the coordinate of the oscillator at site n evolving in an anharmonic on-site potential $U(q_n)$. Each oscillator interacts with the remaining oscillators amounting to global diffusive coupling, the strength of which is regulated by the value of the parameter κ. Even though the system in its formulation in (2) is associated with a

lattice having an odd number of sites, $2N+1$, we emphasise that our proofs of the existence of breathing pattern solutions cover also systems with an even number of sites $2N$ (see below).

The on-site potential U is analytic and is assumed to have the following properties:

$$U(0) = U'(0) = 0\,,\;\; U''(0) > 0. \tag{3}$$

In what follows we differentiate between soft on-site potentials and hard on-site potentials. For the former (latter) the oscillation frequency of an oscillator moving in the on-site potential $U(q)$ decreases (increases) with increasing oscillation amplitude. A soft potential possesses at least one inflection point. If a soft potential possesses a single inflection point, denoted by q_i, we suppose without loss of generality (w.l.o.g.) that $q_i > 0$. Then the following relations are valid

$$U'(-\infty < q < 0) \;<\; 0,\;\; U'(0 < q < q_i) > 0, \tag{4}$$
$$U''(q_i) \;=\; 0,\;\; U''(-\infty < q < q_i) > 0. \tag{5}$$

If $U(q)$ possesses two inflection points denoted by $q_{i,-} < 0$ and $q_{i,+} > 0$ it holds that

$$U'(q_{i,-} < q < 0) \;<\; 0,\;\; U'(0 < q < q_{i,+}) > 0 \tag{6}$$
$$U''(q_{i,\pm}) \;=\; 0,\;\; U''(q_{i,-} < q < q_{i,+}) > 0. \tag{7}$$

We remark that $U(q)$ can have more than two inflection points (an example is a periodic potential $U(q) = -\cos(q)$). However, in the frame of the current study we are only interested in motion between the inflection points adjacent to the minimum of $U(q)$ at $q = 0$.

Hard on-site potentials are, in addition to the assumptions in (3), characterised in their entire range of definition by

$$U'(q < 0) < 0,\;\; U'(q > 0) > 0,\;\; U''(q) > 0. \tag{8}$$

The system of equations (2) possesses an energy integral

$$E = \sum_{n=-N}^{N} \left[\frac{1}{2}\dot{q}_n^2 + U(q_n)\right] + \frac{\kappa}{2(2N+1)} \sum_{n=-N}^{N-1} \sum_{m=n+1}^{N} (q_n - q_m)^2. \tag{9}$$

There exists a closed maximum equipotential surface $\sum_{n=-N}^{N} U(q_n) + \kappa/(2(2N+1)) \sum_{n=-N}^{N-1} \sum_{m=n+1}^{N} (q_n - q_m)^2 = E$ bounding all motions and one has $\dot{q}_{-N} = \,... = \dot{q}_N = 0$ on this surface.

The linearised system exhibits plane wave (phonon) solutions with frequencies

$$\omega_{ph}^2(k) = \omega_0^2 + \frac{4\kappa}{2N+1} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sin^2\left(\frac{nk}{2}\right),\;\; k = \frac{2\pi m}{2N+1},\;\; m = 0, \pm 1, ..., \pm N, \tag{10}$$

and $\omega_0^2 = U''(0)$. Since

$$\frac{4}{2N+1} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sin^2\left(\frac{n\pi m}{2N+1}\right) = 1 \tag{11}$$

for $m = \pm 1, ..., \pm N$, the linear spectrum consists of two frequency values only; the *lower* value

$$\omega_{ph}^2(k = 0) = \omega_0^2, \tag{12}$$

and the $2N$-fold degenerate *upper* value

$$\omega_{ph}^2(k \neq 0) = \omega_0^2 + \kappa. \tag{13}$$

We study the existence of solutions to the system (2) that are time-periodic satisfying

$$q_n(t + T_b) = q_n(t) \tag{14}$$

with period $T_b = 2\pi/\omega_b$. Moreover, these periodic solutions are supposed comprise two different states, one with a small amplitude and the other one with a large amplitude.

The forthcoming derivations of estimates are facilitated by the following statement:

Lemma: For soft potentials $U(q)$ with two inflection points $q_{i,\pm}$ consider the set

$$I_s := \{q \,|\, q_{i,-} < q_l \leq q \leq q_r < q_{i,+}\}\,. \tag{15}$$

Then it holds that for any pair $x, y \in I_s$ with $x < y$

$$U''(0)\,(y-x) > [U'(y) - U'(x)] > \min\{U''(q_l), U''(q_r)\}\,(y-x) > 0. \tag{16}$$

For hard potentials consider the set

$$I_h := \{q \,|\, -\infty < Q_l \leq q \leq Q_r < \infty\}\,. \tag{17}$$

Then it holds that for any pair $x, y \in I_h$ with $x < y$

$$\max\{U''(Q_l), U''(Q_r)\}\,(y-x) > [U'(y) - U'(x)] > U''(0)(y-x) > 0. \tag{18}$$

Proof: Consider the expression

$$F(x,y) = \frac{U'(y) - U'(x)}{y-x}. \tag{19}$$

Note that

$$\lim_{y\to x} \frac{U'(y) - U'(x)}{y-x} = U''(x) > 0. \tag{20}$$

By assumptions (7) and (8) we have that on the sets I_s and I_h it holds that $U'(y) > U'(x)$ for $y > x$. Therefore the expression $F(x,y)$ is positive. Furthermore, by virtue of the mean value theorem there exist a point z in (x,y) such that

$$\frac{U'(y) - U'(x)}{y-x} = U''(z) \geq \min_{q\in I_s, I_h} U''(q), \tag{21}$$

and

$$\frac{U'(y) - U'(x)}{y-x} = U''(z) \leq \max_{q\in I_s, I_h} \{U''(q)\}\,. \tag{22}$$

One has for soft potentials $\min_{q\in I_s}(U''(q)) = \min\{U''(q_l), U''(q_r)\}$, and $\max_{q\in I_s}(U''(q)) = U''(0)$ therefore it holds that

$$U''(0)(y-x) \geq U'(y) - U'(x) \geq \min\{U''(q_l), U''(q_r)\}(y-x) > 0. \tag{23}$$

Similarly for hard potentials by the assumption (3) one has $\min_{q\in I_h}(U''(q)) = U''(0)$ and $\max_{q\in I_h}(U''(q)) = \max\{U''(Q_l), U''(Q_r)\}$, so that

$$\max\{U''(Q_l), U''(Q_r)\}(y-x) \geq U'(y) - U'(x) \geq U''(0)(y-x) > 0$$

completing the proof.

□

Remark: To apply the Lemma to the case of soft potentials with a single inflection point $q_i > 0$ one proceeds along the lines given above for the Lemma considering the set $\{q \,|\, -\infty < q_l \leq q \leq q_r < q_i\}$.

As a first step towards the proof of existence of breather solutions to the system (2) we treat the reduced system of two interacting oscillators.

I. LOCALISED SOLUTIONS FOR TWO COUPLED NONLINEAR OSCILLATORS

In this section we study the dynamics of two interacting general nonlinear oscillators given by the following system

$$\ddot{q}_1(t) = -U'(q_1(t)) + \kappa(q_2(t) - q_1(t)) \tag{24}$$
$$\ddot{q}_2(t) = -U'(q_2(t)) - \kappa(q_2(t) - q_1(t)). \tag{25}$$

As above the prime $'$ stands for the derivative with respect to q_n and an overdot $\dot{}$ represents the derivative with respect to time t.

In the following we prove the existence of spatially localised and time-periodic solutions for the system (24),(25). The localised solutions, like normal modes, are understood as a *vibration in unison* of the system, i.e. all units of the system perform synchronous oscillations so that the two oscillators pass through their extreme values simultaneously.

Theorem 1: *Let $(q_n(t), \dot{q}_n(t))$, $n = 1, 2$, be the smooth solutions to Eqs. (24),(25) with a soft on-site potential and $q_{i,-} < q_l \leq q_{1,2}(t) \leq q_r < q_{i,+}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. There exist periodic solutions $(q_n(t + T_b), \dot{q}_n(t + T_b)) = (q_n(t), \dot{q}_n(t))$ for $n = 1, 2$, so that the oscillators perform in-phase motion, i.e. $\mathrm{sign}(q_1(t)) = \mathrm{sign}(q_2(t))$ with period $T_b = 2\pi/\omega_b$ and frequencies ω_b satisfying*

$$\sqrt{\min\{U''(q_l), U''(q_r)\}} \leq \omega_b < \sqrt{U''(0) + 2\kappa}. \tag{26}$$

Moreover, these solutions are localised which is characterised by either

$$|q_1(t)| > |q_2(t)|, \;\; t \in \mathbb{R}, \;\; t \neq \tilde{t}_k, \;\; k \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{27}$$

or

$$|q_2(t)| > |q_1(t)|, \;\; t \in \mathbb{R}, \;\; t \neq \tilde{t}_k, \;\; k \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{28}$$

where $\tilde{t}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ are the instants of time when the oscillators pass simultaneously through zero coordinate, corresponding to the minimum position of the on-site potential, i.e. $q_1(\tilde{t}_k) = q_2(\tilde{t}_k) = 0$.

Proof: W.l.o.g. the initial conditions satisfy

$$q_1(0) = q_2(0) = 0, \;\; 0 < \dot{q}_2(0) < \dot{q}_1(0). \tag{29}$$

Then due to continuity there must exist some $t_* > 0$ so that during the interval $(0, t_*]$ the following order relation is satisfied

$$0 < q_2(t) < q_1(t). \tag{30}$$

We define the difference variable between the coordinates at sites $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ as follows

$$\Delta q_*(t) = q_1(t) - q_2(t). \tag{31}$$

Thus by definition $\Delta q_*(t) \geq 0$ on $[0, t_*]$.

The time evolution of the difference variables $\Delta q_*(t)$ is determined by the following equation

$$\frac{d^2 \Delta q_*}{dt^2} = -\left[U'(q_1) - U'(q_2)\right] - 2\kappa \Delta q_*. \tag{32}$$

Discarding the non-positive term $-2\kappa\Delta q_*$ for $q_1(t) \geq q_2(t)$ and utilising the Lemma above, we bound the r.h.s. of Eq. (32) from above as follows:

$$\frac{d^2 \Delta q_*}{dt^2} \leq -\Omega_s^2 \Delta q_*(t) \tag{33}$$

where $\Omega_s^2 = \min\{U''(q_l), U''(q_r)\}$.

Similarly we bound the r.h.s. of Eq. (32) for $q_1(t) \geq q_2(t)$ from below as follows:

$$\frac{d^2 \Delta q_*}{dt^2} \geq -(\omega_0^2 + 2\kappa) \Delta q_*(t), \tag{34}$$

with $\omega_0^2 = U''(0)$.

Therefore, by the comparison principle for differential equations, $\Delta q_*(t)$ and $\Delta \dot{q}_*(t)$ are bounded from above and below for given initial conditions by the solution of

$$\frac{d^2a}{dt^2} = -\Omega_s^2 a \tag{35}$$

and

$$\frac{d^2b}{dt^2} = -(\omega_0^2 + 2\kappa)b, \tag{36}$$

respectively, provided $a(t) \geq 0$ and $b(t) \geq 0$.

The solution to Eq. (35) and (36) with initial conditions ($\Delta q_*(0) = 0, \Delta \dot{q}_*(0^+) \equiv \Delta \dot{q}_0 > 0$) is given by

$$a(t) = \frac{\Delta \dot{q}_0}{\Omega_s} \sin(\Omega_s t), \tag{37}$$

$$\dot{a}(t) = \Delta \dot{q}_0 \cos(\Omega_s t) \tag{38}$$

and

$$b(t) = \frac{\Delta \dot{q}_0}{\sqrt{\omega_0^2 + 2\kappa}} \sin(\sqrt{\omega_0^2 + 2\kappa}\, t), \tag{39}$$

$$\dot{b}(t) = \Delta \dot{q}_0 \cos(\sqrt{\omega_0^2 + 2\kappa}\, t), \tag{40}$$

respectively where $a(t) \geq 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq \pi/\Omega_s$ and $b(t) \geq 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq \pi/\sqrt{\omega_0^2 + 2\kappa}$. By $f(\tau_k^-)$ and $f(\tau_k^+)$ the left-sided and right-sided limits of $f(t)$ for $t \to \tau_k$ are meant, respectively.

Notice that $d^2\Delta q_*(t)/dt^2 < 0$ on $(0, t_*)$, that is, the acceleration stays negative. Due to the relations $\Delta \dot{q}_0 > 0$ in conjunction with the lower bound $b(t) \leq \Delta q_*(t)$ the order relation as given in (30) is at least maintained on the interval $(0, \pi/\sqrt{\omega_0^2 + 2\kappa})$. Moreover, $\Delta q_*(t)$ is bound to grow monotonically at least during the interval $(0, \pi/(2\sqrt{\omega_0^2 + 2\kappa})]$ and attains a least maximal value $\Delta \dot{q}_0/\sqrt{\omega_0^2 + 2\kappa}$. Furthermore, $\Delta q_*(t)$ cannot return to zero before $t = \pi/\sqrt{\omega_0^2 + 2\kappa}$.

From the upper bound $\Delta q_*(t) \leq a(t)$ one infers that $\Delta q_*(t)$ can attain an absolute maximal value $\Delta \dot{q}_0/\Omega_s$ but not before $t = \pi/(2\Omega_s)$ and $\Delta q_*(t)$ is bound to return to zero not later than $t = \pi/\Omega_s$. Similarly, $\Delta \dot{q}_*(t)$ is bound to decrease monotonically for $0 < t \leq \pi/\Omega_s$ and becomes negative at a time in the interval $(\pi/(2\sqrt{\omega_0^2 + 2\kappa}), \pi/(2\Omega_s))$. Moreover, it holds that $\Delta \dot{q}_*(t) \geq -\Delta \dot{q}_0$ for $0 \leq t \leq \pi/\sqrt{\omega_0^2 + 2\kappa}$ and $\Delta \dot{q}_*(t) \leq -\Delta \dot{q}_0$ for $t \geq \pi/\Omega_s$.

Therefore, by the smooth dependence of the solutions $(\Delta q(t), \Delta \dot{q}(t))$ on the initial values $(q_{1,2}(0), \dot{q}_{1,2}(0))$, to any chosen initial condition $q_1(0) = 0, \dot{q}_1(0) \neq 0$ and $q_2(0) = 0$ there exists a corresponding $\dot{q}_2(0)$ (or vice versa) so that one has $\Delta q_*(t_*) = \Delta q_*(0) = 0$ and $\Delta \dot{q}_*(t_*^-) = -\Delta \dot{q}_0$ with $t_* \in [\pi/\sqrt{\omega_0^2 + 2\kappa}, \pi/\Omega_s]$. This implies the symmetry

$$\Delta q_*(t_*/2 + \tau) = \Delta q_*(t_*/2 - \tau), \tag{41}$$

$$-\Delta \dot{q}_*(t_*/2 + \tau)) = \Delta \dot{q}_*(t_*/2 - \tau)) \tag{42}$$

with $0 < \tau < t_*/2$ and $t_*/2$ corresponds to the turning point of the motion when Δq_* attain its maximum while $\Delta \dot{q}_*$ is zero. In turn, this implies that the motion of the two oscillators possesses the symmetry

$$q_n(t_*/2 + \tau) = q_n(t_*/2 - \tau), \;\; n = 1, 2 \tag{43}$$

$$\dot{q}_n(t_*/2 + \tau) = \dot{q}_n(t_*/2 - \tau)), \;\; n = 1, 2, \tag{44}$$

with $0 \leq \tau \leq t_*/2$ and at the turning point, $t = t_*/2$, the two coordinates q_1 and q_2 assume simultaneously their respective maxima while $\dot{q}_1$ and $\dot{q}_2$ are both zero. Conclusively, on the interval $[0, t_*]$ the two oscillators evolve through half a cycle of periodic in-phase motion, i.e. $\mathrm{sign}(q_1) = \mathrm{sign}(q_2)$ and $\mathrm{sign}(\dot{q}_1) = \mathrm{sign}(\dot{q}_2)$. With regard to later use we remark that the value of the coordinates $q_{1,2}$ at the turning point $t = t_*/2$ together with $\dot{q}_{1,2} = 0$ can be taken as (new) initial conditions to obtain time-reversible solutions.

At $t = t_*$, just as at $t = 0$, the oscillators pass simultaneously through zero coordinate, corresponding to the minimum position of the on-site potential at $q_n = 0$ and the oscillators proceed afterwards with negative coordinate, i.e. $q_n(t) < 0$ and negative velocity, i.e. $\dot{q}_n(t) < 0$, $n = 1, 2$, until the next turning point is reached.

Since at $t = t_*$ one has $\dot{q}_1(t_*) < \dot{q}_2(t_*) < 0$ then due to continuity there must exist some $t_{**} > 0$ so that during the interval $(t_*, t_{**}]$ the order relation $q_1(t) < q_2(t)$ is satisfied.

For $t \geq t_*$ we consider the difference variable between the coordinates at sites $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ as follows

$$\Delta q_{**}(t) = q_2(t) - q_1(t). \tag{45}$$

Initialising the dynamics accordingly with $\Delta q_{**}(t_*) = \Delta q_* = 0$, $\Delta \dot{q}_{**}(t_*^+) = -\Delta \dot{q}_*(t_*^-) = \Delta \dot{q}_*(0^+)$ and with the arguments given above it follows that $\Delta q_{**}(t)$ and $\Delta \dot{q}_{**}(t)$ exhibit qualitatively the same features as $\Delta q_*(t)$ and $\Delta \dot{q}_*(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq t_*$ so that lower and upper bounds on $\Delta q_{**}(t)$ and $\Delta \dot{q}_{**}(t)$ are derived equivalently to the ones above. In fact, one has

$$q_n((t_* + t_{**})/2 + \tau) = q_n((t_* + t_{**})/2 - \tau), \tag{46}$$
$$\dot{q}_n((t_* + t_{**})/2 + \tau) = \dot{q}_n((t_* + t_{**})/2 - \tau), \tag{47}$$

with $n = 1, 2$ and $0 \leq \tau \leq (t_{**} - t_*)/2$ and $(t_* + t_{**})/2$ corresponds to the turning point of the motion when the coordinates $q_{1,2}$ attain their minima while the velocities $\dot{q}_{1,2}$ become zero. Again we remark with regard to later use that the value of the coordinates $q_{1,2}$ at the turning point $(t_* + t_{**})/2$ together with $\dot{q}_{1,2} = 0$ can be taken as (new) initial conditions to generate time-reversible solutions.

In particular the time t_{**}, at which $\Delta q_{**}(t_{**}) = 0$ and $\Delta \dot{q}_{**}(t_{**}^-) = -\Delta \dot{q}_{**}(t_*^+)$, lies in the range $t_* + \pi/\sqrt{\omega_0^2 + 2\kappa} < t_{**} < t_* + \pi/\Omega_s$. The zero of Δq_{**} marks the end of a (first) cycle of duration $2\pi/\sqrt{\omega_0^2 + 2\kappa} < T_b = t_{**} < 2\pi/\Omega_s$ of maintained localised oscillation throughout of which the order relation $|q_1(t)| \geq |q_2(t)|$ is preserved.

Notice that t_* does not necessarily equals $t_{**} - t_*$ when the oscillators perform motion in on-site potentials without reflection symmetry, viz. $U(q) \neq U(-q)$. As the breather frequency $\omega_b = 2\pi/T_b$ depends on the amplitude of oscillations, the latter can be chosen such that the non-resonance condition $m\omega_b \neq \omega_0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ is satisfied.

In relation to the time-periodicity of the dynamics of localised solutions beyond times $t \geq t_{**}$ we consider the dynamics of $\Delta q_k(t) = (-1)^{k+1}(q_1(t) - q_2(t))$ on intervals

$$I_k := [t_k, t_{k+1}], \text{ with integer } k \geq 1, \ t_1 = t_{**} \tag{48}$$

with

$$t_{k+1} = \begin{cases} t_k + t_* & \text{for } k \text{ odd} \\ t_k + t_{**} - t_* & \text{for } k \text{ even}. \end{cases} \tag{49}$$

Crucially, initialising the dynamics with $\Delta q_k(t_k) = 0$ and $\Delta \dot{q}_k(t_k^+) = \Delta q_0$ on each of the intervals I_k, $\Delta q(t)$ and $\Delta \dot{q}(t)$ periodically repeat the behaviour described above for the interval $[0, t_*]$ for odd k and, $[t_*, t_{**}]$ for even k. Conclusively, spatially localised and time-periodic solutions result satisfying

$$|q_1(t)| \geq |q_2(t)|, \tag{50}$$

and $(q_n(t + T_b), \dot{q}_n(t + T_b)) = (q_n(t), \dot{q}_n(t))$ for $n = 1, 2$ with period $T_b = 2\pi/\omega_b$ where the frequency ω_b satisfies the relation

$$\sqrt{\min\{U''(q_l), U''(q_r)\}} \leq \omega_b < \sqrt{U''(0) + 2\kappa}. \tag{51}$$

For later use we denote the small (large) amplitude state by $\{s\}$ ($\{L\}$). Note that the inequality in (50) is strict except at those moments in time, $\tilde{t}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$, when the oscillators pass simultaneously through zero coordinate, corresponding to the minimum position of the on-site potential, i.e. $q_{1,2}(\tilde{t}_k) = 0$.

From the discussion above we conclude that there exist initial conditions such that the associated periodic solutions possess the time-reversibility symmetry, viz. $q_{1,2}(t) = q_{1,2}(-t)$ and $-\dot{q}_{1,2}(t) = \dot{q}_{1,2}(-t)$ so that the relation (50) is true for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, by taking these initial conditions as $q_{i,-} < q_{1,2}(0) < q_{i,+}$, and $q_{1,2}(0) \neq 0$, $\dot{q}_{1,2}(0) = 0$, the relations $q_{i,-} < q_l \leq q_{1,2}(t) \leq q_r < q_{i,+}$ and either $|q_2(t)| \leq |q_1(t)|$ or $|q_1(t)| \leq |q_2(t)|$ (where the equality sign applies only at the instants of time, $\tilde{t}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$, when the oscillators pass simultaneously through zero coordinate, corresponding to the minimum position of the on-site potential, i.e. $q_{1,2}(\tilde{t}_k) = 0$) can be satisfied and the proof is complete.

□

The next Theorem establishes the existence of localised out-of-phase solutions for motions in hard on-site potentials.

Theorem 2: *Let $(q_n(t), \dot{q}_n(t))$, $n = 1, 2$, be the smooth solutions to Eqs. (24),(25) with a hard on-site potential and $-\infty < Q_l \leq q_{1,2}(t) \leq Q_r < \infty$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. There exist periodic solutions $(q_n(t + T_b), \dot{q}_n(t + T_b)) = (q_n(t), \dot{q}_n(t))$ for*

$n = 1, 2$, so that the oscillators perform out-of-phase motion, i.e. $\mathrm{sign}(q_1(t)) = -\mathrm{sign}(q_2(t))$*, with period* $T_b = 2\pi/\omega_b$ *and frequencies* ω_b *satisfying*

$$\sqrt{U''(0)} < \omega_b \leq \sqrt{\max\{U''(Q_l), U''(Q_r)\} + 2\kappa}\,. \tag{52}$$

Moreover, these solutions are localised fulfilling either

$$|q_1(t)| > |q_2(t)|, \;\; t \in \mathbb{R}, \;\; t \neq \tilde{t}_k, \;\; k \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{53}$$

or

$$|q_2(t)| > |q_1(t)|, \;\; t \in \mathbb{R}, \;\; t \neq \tilde{t}_k, \;\; k \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{54}$$

where $\tilde{t}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ *are the instants of time when the oscillators pass simultaneously through zero coordinate, corresponding to the minimum position of the on-site potential, i.e.* $q_1(\tilde{t}_k) = q_2(\tilde{t}_k) = 0$.

Proof: W.l.o.g. the initial conditions satisfy

$$q_1(0) = q_2(0) = 0, \;\; 0 < -\mathrm{sign}(\dot{q}_2(0)) < \mathrm{sign}(\dot{q}_1(0)). \tag{55}$$

Then due to continuity there must exist some $t_* > 0$ so that during the interval $(0, t_*]$ the two oscillators perform motion with $\mathrm{sign}(q_1(t)) = -\mathrm{sign}(q_2(t))$ and $\mathrm{sign}(\dot{q}_1(t)) = -\mathrm{sign}(\dot{q}_2(t))$. Furthermore, on $(0, t_*]$ the following order relation is satisfied

$$0 < -q_2(t) < q_1(t). \tag{56}$$

We proceed as in the previous case for soft on-site potentials by introducing the difference variable between coordinates. The time evolution of the difference variable is determined by an equation identical to (32) and using the Lemma above we derive for $q_1(t) \geq -q_2(t) \geq 0$ for the r.h.s. an upper bound and lower bound for the r.h.s. for hard on-site potentials as

$$\frac{d^2\Delta q_*}{dt^2} \leq -\omega_0^2 \Delta q_*(t), \tag{57}$$

and

$$\frac{d^2\Delta q_*}{dt^2} \geq -(\Omega_h^2 + 2\kappa)\Delta q_*(t), \tag{58}$$

respectively and $\Omega_h^2 = \max\{U''(Q_l), U''(Q_r)\}$.

Thus, the solutions are bounded from above and below as $B(t) \leq \Delta q_*(t) \leq A(t)$ where the upper bound is given by

$$A(t) = \frac{\Delta\dot{q}_0(0)}{\sqrt{\Omega_h^2 + 2\kappa}} \sin(\sqrt{\Omega_h^2 + 2\kappa}\, t), \tag{59}$$

and $0 < t < \pi/\sqrt{\Omega_h^2 + 2\kappa}$.

$$B(t) = \frac{\Delta\dot{q}_0(0)}{\omega_0} \sin(\omega_0\, t), \tag{60}$$

and $0 < t < \pi/\omega_0$.

The remainder of the proof regarding the time periodicity of the resulting localised solutions proceeds in an analogous way as above for Theorem 1.

Conclusively, spatially localised and time-periodic solutions for out-of-phase motion in hard on-site potentials result which satisfy

$$|q_1(t)| \geq |q_2(t)|, \;\; t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{61}$$

and $(q_n(t + T_b), \dot{q}_n(t + T_b)) = (q_n(t), \dot{q}_n(t))$ for $n = 1, 2$ where the equality sign in (61) is valid only at the instants of time, $\tilde{t}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$, when the oscillators pass simultaneously through zero coordinate, corresponding to the minimum position

of the on-site potential, i.e. $q_n(\tilde{t}_k) = 0$ with $-N \leq n \leq N$. The period $T_b = 2\pi/\omega_b$ is associated with frequencies lying in the interval

$$\sqrt{U''(0)} < \omega_b \leq \sqrt{\max\{U''(Q_l), U''(Q_r)\} + 2\kappa}. \tag{62}$$

The initial conditions can be chosen so that the resulting amplitude of oscillations is such that the non-resonance condition between the amplitude-dependent breather frequency and the harmonic frequency, $m\omega_b \neq \omega_0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ is satisfied.

Furthermore, the considerations above imply that there exist initial conditions $-\infty < Q_l \leq q_{1,2}(0) \leq Q_r < \infty$ and $q_{1,2}(0) \neq 0$, $\dot{q}_{1,2}(0) = 0$ resulting in time-reversible solutions obeying $q_{1,2}(t) = q_{1,2}(-t)$ and $-\dot{q}_{1,2}(t) = \dot{q}_{1,2}(-t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ completing the proof.

□

The in-phase and out-of-phase localised periodic solutions reduce to the harmonic linear normal modes at small amplitudes.

Having established the existence of localised solutions for two coupled nonlinear oscillators we prove now the existence of time-periodic solutions exhibiting patterns of two different amplitudes for a finite system of globally coupled nonlinear oscillators.

II. BREATHING PATTERN SOLUTIONS FOR GLOBALLY COUPLED FINITE-SIZE NONLINEAR LATTICES

In the following we prove the existence of solutions to Eq. (2) in the form of breathing patterns where all sites have equal (comparatively small) amplitude (state $\{s\}$) except one with a large amplitude (state $\{L\}$). For the discrete nonlinear Schrödinger (DNLS) equation (arising as a limit case of the coupled-oscillator lattice considered in the current study) the general exact expression for the corresponding time-periodic solution that has equal amplitude on all sites except one was given in [27].

The existence of a single-site "breather" on a constant-amplitude tail can be anticipated from the work in [28] where it was found that for the general case of breathers on lattices with long range interactions, which decay according to a power-law, breathers decay with the same power-law as the interaction. Hence, extrapolating this result to the case of equal interactions (exponent of power-law going to zero), one can expect to get a constant-amplitude tail.

Theorem 3: *Let $(q_n(t), \dot{q}_n(t))$ be the smooth solutions to Eq. (2) with a soft on-site potential fulfilling $q_{i,-} < q_l \leq q_n(t) \leq q_r < q_{i,+}$ for $-N \leq n \leq N$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. There exist periodic in-phase motions $(q_n(t+T_b), \dot{q}_n(t+T_b)) = (q_n(t), \dot{q}_n(t))$ and $\mathrm{sign}(q_n(t)) = \mathrm{sign}(q_{n+1}(t))$, with $T_b = 2\pi/\omega_b$ and frequencies ω_b lying in the range*

$$\sqrt{\min\{U''(q_l), U''(q_r)\}} \leq \omega_b < \sqrt{U''(0) + \kappa}. \tag{63}$$

Moreover, these solutions are characterised by a large amplitude at a single site of index m, compared to the equal small amplitude at the remaining sites, $n \neq m$, satisfying

$$|q_m(t)| > |q_{-N \leq n \leq N,\, n \neq m}(t)|, \;\; t \in \mathbb{R}, \;\; t \neq \tilde{t}_k, \;\; k \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{64}$$

where $\tilde{t}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are the instants of time when all the oscillators pass simultaneously through zero coordinate, corresponding to the minimum position of the on-site potential, i.e. $q_{-N \leq n \leq N}(\tilde{t}_k) = 0$.

Proof: W.l.o.g. the initial conditions are chosen such that $q_n(0) = 0$ and $\dot{q}_n(0) \neq 0$, say $\dot{q}_n(0) > 0$ and $\dot{q}_0(0) > \dot{q}_{n \neq 0}(0) > 0$ and equal $\dot{q}_{-N \leq n \leq N,\, n \neq 0}(0)$. Then due to continuity there must exist some $\delta > 0$ so that during the interval $(0, \delta]$ the following order relations are satisfied

$$0 < q_{-N \leq n \leq N,\, n \neq 0}(t) < q_0(t). \tag{65}$$

We define the difference variable between the coordinates and velocities respectively of any pair of sites $k, l \in [-N, N]$, $k, l \neq 0$, $l > k$ and $k = 0$, $l \in [-N, N]$, $l \neq 0$ as follows

$$\Delta q_{kl}(t) = q_k(t) - q_l(t), \;\; \Delta \dot{q}_{kl}(t) = \dot{q}_k(t) - \dot{q}_l(t). \tag{66}$$

The time evolution of the difference variables $\Delta q_{kl}(t)$ is then determined by the following equation

$$\frac{d^2\Delta q_{kl}}{dt^2} = -\left[U'(q_k) - U'(q_l)\right] - \kappa\Delta q_{kl}, \tag{67}$$

which is closed in the variables q_k and q_l, viz. is decoupled from the remainder of the lattice, and represents the equation for the difference variable of two coupled oscillators (cf. Eq. (32)).

If $k \neq 0$ it follows immediately from the assumptions on the initial conditions that $\Delta q_{kl}(t) \equiv 0$ and $\Delta\dot{q}_{kl}(t) \equiv 0$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. That is, $q_{k\neq 0}(t) = q_{l\neq 0}(t)$ and $\dot{q}_{k\neq 0}(t) = \dot{q}_{l\neq 0}(t)$. Furthermore, if $k = 0$ we exploit the fact that for each pair of oscillators at sites $k = 0$ and $l \neq 0$ there exists a localised and time-periodic solution as proven in Section I such that $|q_0(t)| \geq |q_{-N\leq n\leq N, n\neq 0}(t)|$ and $q_{-N\leq n\leq N}(t + T_b) = q_{-N\leq n\leq N}(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where the equality sign is valid only at the instants of time, $\tilde{t}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$, when the oscillators pass simultaneously through zero coordinate, corresponding to the minimum position of the on-site potential, i.e. $q_{-N\leq n\leq N}(\tilde{t}_k) = 0$. Hence, a breathing pattern results for which all but one site attain the small-amplitude state $\{s\}$ while the remaining site is in the large-amplitude state $\{L\}$.

The frequency of oscillations satisfies the relations

$$\Omega_s \leq \omega_b < \sqrt{\omega_0^2 + \kappa}. \tag{68}$$

and lies below the lower value of the linear spectrum.

In addition the non-resonance conditions $m\,\omega_b \neq \omega_{ph}$, $\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}$ have to be fulfilled, avoiding resonances of harmonics of the breathing pattern solutions with the linear (phonon) spectrum, which given that the latter comprises only two values is readily satisfiable by an appropriate choice of the initial conditions.

Furthermore, there exist initial conditions $q_{i,-} < q_n(0) < q_{i,+}$ with $|q_0(0)| > |q_{n\neq 0}(0)|$ and $\dot{q}_n(0) = 0$ with $-N \leq n \leq N$, so that time-reversible solutions $q_n(t) = q_n(-t)$ and $-\dot{q}_n(t) = \dot{q}_n(-t)$ with $q_{i,-} < q_n(t) < q_{i,+}$ for $-N \leq n \leq N$ $|q_{n\neq 0}(t)| \leq |q_0(t)|$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ where the equal sign applies only at the instants of time, $\tilde{t}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$, when the oscillators pass simultaneously through zero coordinate, corresponding to the minimum position of the on-site potential, i.e. $q_{-N\leq n\ leN}(\tilde{t}_k) = 0$, result completing the proof.

□

Regarding the existence of solutions to Eq. (2) in the form of breathing out-of-phase patterns where all the oscillators possess equal small amplitude except for one oscillator evolving with large amplitude in hard on-site potentials we have the following theorem which is proven in analogous manner to the previous proof:

Theorem 4: *Let $(q_n(t), \dot{q}_n(t))$ be the smooth solutions to Eq. (2) with a hard on-site potential and $-\infty < Q_l \leq q_n(t) \leq Q_r < \infty$ for $0 \leq n \leq N$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. There exist periodic out-of-phase motions $(q_n(t + T_b), \dot{q}_n(t + T_b)) = (q_n(t), \dot{q}_n(t))$ and $\mathrm{sign}(q_n(t)) = -\mathrm{sign}(q_{n+1}(t))$, with $T_b = 2\pi/\omega_b$ and frequencies ω_b lie in the range*

$$\sqrt{U''(0)} < \omega_b \leq \sqrt{\max\{U''(Q_l), U''(Q_r)\} + \kappa}. \tag{69}$$

Moreover, these solutions are characterised by a large amplitude at the single site, w.l.o.g. chosen as $n = 0$, compared to the equal amplitude at the remaining sites, $n \neq 0$, satisfying

$$|q_0(t)| > |q_{-N\leq n\leq N,\, n\neq 0}(t)|, \;\; t \in \mathbb{R}, \;\; t \neq \tilde{t}_k, \;\; k \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{70}$$

where $\tilde{t}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ are the instants of time when all the oscillators pass simultaneously through zero coordinate, corresponding to the minimum position of the on-site potential, i.e. $q_{-N\leq n\leq N}(\tilde{t}_k) = 0$.

Remark: Theorems 3 and 4 apply also to lattices with an even number of sites, $-N + 1 \leq n \leq N$ (or $-N \leq n \leq N + 1$).

Corollary: With the methods introduced in this manuscript one also shows the existence of *multi-site breathing pattern solutions*, comprising any combination of the two states $\{s\}$ and $\{L\}$. To this end one defines the distance variables $\Delta q_{kl}(t)$ between pairs of oscillators at sites k and l as $\Delta q_{kl}(t) = \alpha[q_k(t) - q_l(t)]$, when $|q_k(t)| \geq |q_l(t)|$ with $\alpha = \mathrm{sign}(q_k)$, and $\Delta q_{kl}(t) = \alpha[q_l(t) - q_k(t)]$, when $|q_l(t)| \geq |q_k(t)|$ with $\alpha = \mathrm{sign}(q_l)$. Unless the oscillators at sites k

and l are supposed to perform motions with equal amplitude (i.e. they are both either in state $\{s\}$ or in state $\{L\}$) one facilitates the methods contained in the proofs above to establish the existence of a localised state at sites k and l where the site k is in state $\{s\}$ while site l is in state $\{L\}$ or vice versa.

III. SUMMARY

We have proven the existence of time-periodic solutions comprising two states of different amplitudes in finite nonlinear lattices whose units are globally coupled, utilising the comparison principle for differential equations. It is demonstrated that spatial patterns are possible, that are built up from any combination of a small-amplitude state $\{s\}$ and a large-amplitude state $\{L\}$. The spectrum of linear solutions (phonons) consists of two values only. For soft (hard) on-site potentials the in-phase (out-of-phase) breathing patterns are allowed to have frequencies below (above) the lower (upper) value of the bivalued degenerate linear spectrum of phonon frequencies. Due to the very discrete nature of the linear spectrum, resonances between harmonics of the breathing solution frequency and the frequencies of linear oscillations, i.e. phonons, are readily avoidable.

[1] S. Flach and A. Gorbach, Physics Reports **467**, 1 (2008).
[2] S. Aubry, Physica D **103**, 201 (1996).
[3] S. Flach and C. R. Willis, Phys. Rep. **295**, 182 (1998).
[4] D. Hennig and G. P. Tsironis, Phys. Rep. **307**, 335 (1999).
[5] R.S. MacKay and S. Aubry, Nonlinearity **7**, 1623 (1994).
[6] S. Aubry, Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré (A) Physique Theorique **68**, 381 (1998).
[7] S. Aubry, G. Kopidakis and V. Kadelburg, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems B **1**, 271 (2001).
[8] G. James, J. Nonlinear Sci. **13**, 27 (2003).
[9] D. Hennig, AIP Advances **3**, 102127 (2013).
[10] D. Bambusi, Nonlinearity **9** (1996).
[11] J.A. Sepulchre and R. S. MacKay, Nonlinearity **10**, 679 (1997).
[12] J.L. Marin and S. Aubry, Nonlinearity **9**, 1501 (1994).
[13] S. Aubry, Physica D **103**, 201 (1997).
[14] R. S. MacKay and J.A. Sepulchre, Physica D **119**, 148 (1998).
[15] J.L. Marin, F. Falo, P.J. Martinez, and L.M. Flora, Phys. Rev. E **63**, 066603 (2001).
[16] P.J. Martinez, M. Meister, L.M. Floria, and F. Falo, Chaos **13**, 610 (2003).
[17] M. Sato, B.E. Hubbard, A.J. Sievers, B. Ilic, D.A. Czaplewski, and H.G. Craighead, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90** 044102 (2003); M. Sato, B.E. Hubbard, L.Q. English, A.J. Sievers, B. Ilic, D.A. Czaplewski, and H.G. Craighead, Chaos **13** 702 (2003); M. Sato, B.E. Hubbard, and A.J. Sievers, Rev. Mod. Phys. **78** 137 (2006).
[18] E. Trias, J. Mazo, and T. Orlando, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84** 741 (2000); P. Binder, D. Abraimov, A.V. Ustinov, S. Flach, and Y. Zolotaryuk, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84** 745 (2000).
[19] M. Sato and A.J. Sievers, Nature **432** 486 (2004); U.T. Schwarz, L.Q. English, and A.J. Sievers, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** 223 (1999).
[20] J.W. Fleischer, M. Segev, N.K. Efremidis, and D.N. Christodoulides, Nature **422** 147 (2003); H.S. Eisenberg, Y. Silberberg, R. Morantotti, A.R. Boyd, and J.S. Atchinson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81** 3383 (1998).
[21] B. Eiermann, T. Anker, M. Albiez, M. Taglieber, P. Treutlein, K.P. Marzlin, and M.K. Oberthaler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92** 23041 (2004).
[22] J. Cuevas, L.Q. English, P.G. Kevredikis, and M. Anderson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 224101 (2009).
[23] L.Q. English, M. Sato, and A.J. Sievers, Phys. Rev. E **77**, 066601 (2008).
[24] N. Boechler, G. Theocharis, S. Job, and P.G. Kevredikis, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 244302 (2010).
[25] M. Remoissenet *Waves Called Solitons: Concepts and Experiments* (Springer-Verlag, 2003).
[26] A. M. Morgante, M. Johansson, G. Kopidakis, and S. Aubry, Physica D **162**, 53 (2002).
[27] J.C. Eilbeck P.S. Lomdahl, and A.C. Scott, Physica D **16**, 318 (1985).
[28] S. Flach, Phys. Rev. E **58**, R4116 (1998).